

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

9 BRIDGETT AMADECK and FELIX N.
10 HANSEN,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 vs.

13 CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL
14 CORPORATION and CAPITAL ONE BANK
(USA), N.A.,

15 Defendants.

No.

DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF REMOVAL
OF ACTION PURSUANT TO
28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1367, 1441, AND 1446

(Removed from King County Superior Court
Case No. 12-2-02641-6 SEA)

16 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that defendants Capital One Financial Corporation and
17 Capital One Bank (USA), N.A. (collectively, "Capital One") hereby remove to this Court the
18 state-court action described below. In support of its removal, Capital One states as follows:
19

//

20 **I. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF FACTS**

21 On January 23, 2012, plaintiffs Bridgett Amadeck and Felix N. Hansen filed a lawsuit
22 in King County Superior Court, Case No. 12-2-02641-6 SEA. *See*, Declaration of Paul Smith
23

1 in Support of Defendants' Notice of Removal, Exhibit A. Capital One was served with a copy
2 of plaintiffs' Complaint on January 24, 2011. *See*, Declaration of Paul Smith, Exhibit B.

3 Plaintiffs' Complaint against Capital One is a putative class action involving alleged
4 violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227, and the
5 regulations enacted to implement the TCPA, 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200. (Complaint ¶¶3.1-3.6.) The
6 Complaint also involves alleged violations of Washington's Automatic Dialing and
7 Announcing Device ("ADAD") statute, R.C.W. 80.36.400, Washington's Do-Not-Call
8 statute, R.C.W. 80.36.390, and the Washington Consumer Protection Act ("WCPA"), R.C.W.
9 19.86. (Complaint ¶¶3.7-3.12.) It seeks relief under the Washington Declaratory Judgment
10 Act, R.C.W. 7.24.010. (Complaint ¶¶3.13-3.15.)

11 Capital One files this Notice of Removal on February 13, 2012. The Notice of
12 Removal is timely filed under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b) because it has been filed "within 30 days
13 after the receipt by the defendant, through service or otherwise, of a copy of the initial
14 pleading setting forth the claim for relief upon which such action or proceeding is based." *Id.*

15 //

16 II. BASIS FOR REMOVAL

17 A. There Is Federal Question Jurisdiction Under 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

18 This Court has original federal question jurisdiction over this putative class action
19 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331. The TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227, and its associated regulations, 47
20 C.F.R. § 64.1200, are federal law, over which the federal courts have federal question
21 jurisdiction. *See Mims v. Arrow Fin. Servs., LLC*, 132 S. Ct. 740, 753 (2012).

1 **B. There is Supplemental Jurisdiction Under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.**

2 This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over plaintiffs' state law claims under 28
3 U.S.C. § 1367, because plaintiffs' allegations arise from and are part of the same case or
4 controversy as the federal question. Therefore, this action is one which can be removed to this
5 Court by Capital One under 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) and (c).

6 **C. Notice of Removal Was Timely Under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).**

7 Capital One first received a copy of the Complaint filed by plaintiffs no earlier than
8 January 24, 2012. *See*, Declaration of Paul Smith, Exhibit B. This Notice of Removal, which
9 has been filed less than 30 days later, is thus timely under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).


10 **D. Capital One's Notice of Removal Complies With the Applicable Local Rules and**
11 **Venue Is Proper in the Western District of Washington Under 28 U.S.C. § 128(b).**


12 This Notice of Removal complies with all applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
13 and Local Rules. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. §§ 128(b) and 1441(a)
14 because this District encompasses King County, wherein plaintiffs filed the state court action
15 being removed. Capital One is serving plaintiffs' counsel with copies of this Notice of
16 Removal and will serve notice of the removal upon the Clerk of the Court in the King County
17 Superior Court.

18 Capital One expressly reserves its right to raise all defenses and objections to
19 plaintiffs' claims after the action is removed to this Court.

1 DATED this 13th day of February, 2012.

2 FORSBERG & UMLAUF, P.S.

3
4 By: 
5 John B. Hayes, WSBA #21009
6 Email: JHayes@forsberg-umlauf.com

7
8 By: 
9 Paul S. Smith, WSBA #28099
10 Email: PSmith@forsberg-umlauf.com

11 Attorneys for Defendants Capital One
12 Financial Corporation and Capital One Bank
13 (USA), N.A.
14 Forsberg & Umlauf, P.S.
15 901 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1400
16 Seattle, WA 98164-2050
17 Telephone: (206) 689-8500
18 Facsimile: (206) 689-8501

19 and

20 Aaron D. Van Oort* MN #315539
21 Aaron.VanOort@FaegreBD.com
22 Erin L. Hoffman* MN #0387835
23 Erin.Hoffman@FaegreBD.com
2200 Wells Fargo Center
90 South Seventh Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402-3901
Telephone: (612) 766-7000
Facsimile: (612) 766-1600

**Applications for Admission
Pro Hac Vice forthcoming*

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 The undersigned certifies under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
3 Washington that I am now and at all times herein mentioned, a citizen of the United States, a
4 resident of the State of Washington, over the age of eighteen years, not a party to or interested
5 in the above-entitled action, and competent to be a witness herein.

6 On the date given below I caused to be served the foregoing DEFENDANTS'
7 NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. 1331, 1367, 1441, AND
8 1446 on the following individuals in the manner indicated:

9 Ms. Kim Williams
10 Mr. Rob Williamson
11 Williamson & Williams
12 17253 Agate Street NE
13 Bainbridge Island, WA 98110
14 Facsimile: 206-780-5557

12 ☒ Via U.S. Mail
13 ☒ Via Facsimile
14 ☐ Via Hand Delivery
15 ☒ Via ECF

16 SIGNED this 13th day of February, 2012, at Seattle, Washington.

17 
18 _____
19
20
21
22
23